

Captaincy and Decisions Practice Session Planner - Communicate as a Team

Captaincy and Decisions | Communicate as a Team | Asset ID CRIC-22-02-07

Purpose: This original printable practice session planner helps players, coaches, clubs, schools and families work with captaincy and decisions while pursuing one defined outcome: make calls and feedback short, early and respectful. Its method is to prepare, practise in blocks, record evidence and cool down. Use the pages as a working record, not as a substitute for current competition rules, safe facilities, suitable equipment and qualified coaching or health guidance where those are needed.

Resource Map

The subject focus is to make transparent choices using conditions, resources and current match information. The six working areas below define the scope for this specific resource. Complete only the rows that matter to the present practice block, and date any observation that may change.

#	Working area	Current evidence	Next check
1	Toss Factors	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
2	Bowling Change	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
3	Field Change	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
4	Matchup Evidence	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
5	Communication	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
6	Review Point	Known / estimated / not checked	_____

Continuity Starting Brief

- Mark: Which evidence separates matchup evidence from communication in this case? Mark a checkpoint that another person can recognise.
- Preserve: How does review point change the interpretation of toss factors? Preserve the context needed to interpret later work.
- Advance: What boundary on bowling change must be checked before relying on field change? Advance one bounded segment toward the outcome.
- Log: Which observation links communication to bowling change, and what remains uncertain? Log interruption, error or uncertainty when it occurs.
- Resume: What alternative explanation could account for both toss factors and matchup evidence? Resume from evidence rather than memory alone.
- Edition success means: finish a session with fewer avoidable misunderstandings

Practice Session Planner

This format is designed to organise warm-up, focused drills, rest and review around one goal. For captaincy and decisions, apply the method in a deliberate sequence: prepare, practise in blocks, record evidence and cool down. The edition target is communicate as a team, so each entry should show what was noticed, what was tried and what changed.

Working step	What to record	Evidence or source	Status
Mark Matchup Evidence	Which evidence separates matchup evidence from communication in this case?	Checkpoint / date	Open / checked
Preserve Communication	How does review point change the interpretation of toss factors?	Context record / date	Open / checked
Advance Review Point	What boundary on bowling change must be checked before relying on field change?	Completed segment / date	Open / checked
Log Toss Factors	Which observation links communication to bowling change, and what remains uncertain?	Continuity note / date	Open / checked
Resume Bowling Change	What alternative explanation could account for both toss factors and matchup evidence?	Restart point / date	Open / checked
Verify Field Change	What next check on field change would make the record about review point more useful?	Chain review / date	Open / checked

Completion Standard

- Keep the work tied to captaincy and decisions, not to a vague general intention.
- Apply this adjustment: agree roles and words before the drill
- Could another person reconstruct the sequence and continue without guessing?
- Expose this outcome risk if it appears: work resumes from a guess.
- Use original notes and explanations; quote only when necessary, lawful and properly attributed.
- Ask a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional to challenge one material assumption where appropriate.
- Close with one decision and one follow-up rather than an unranked list of ideas.

Working Note

Edition CRIC-22-02-07 pairs practice session planner with the outcome 'Communicate as a Team'. That pairing is the boundary for this workbook: material that does not help that outcome belongs in a separate record.

Evidence x Continuity Focused Practice Lab

This lab pairs a evidence method with a continuity outcome lens. Work through the six actions to turn the subject focus - make transparent choices using conditions, resources and current match information - into evidence for communicate as a team. Preserve any gap that prevents a supported conclusion.

#	Action	Constraint	Record
1	Collect / Mark bowling change	Which evidence separates matchup evidence from communication in this case? Mark a checkpoint that another person can recognise.	Evidence list / Checkpoint
2	Classify / Preserve field change	How does review point change the interpretation of toss factors? Preserve the context needed to interpret later work.	Source / Context record
3	Trace / Advance matchup evidence	What boundary on bowling change must be checked before relying on field change? Advance one bounded segment toward the outcome.	Trace / Completed segment
4	Verify / Log communication	Which observation links communication to bowling change, and what remains uncertain? Log interruption, error or uncertainty when it occurs.	Verification / Continuity note
5	Reconcile / Resume review point	What alternative explanation could account for both toss factors and matchup evidence? Resume from evidence rather than memory alone.	Exception / Restart point
6	Approve / Verify toss factors	What next check on field change would make the record about review point more useful? Verify that the chain remains complete and understandable.	Sign-off / Chain review

Common Failure Modes

- For bowling change, watch for the source has no date together with the checkpoint is vague.
- For field change, watch for the evidence is second-hand together with context is lost.
- For matchup evidence, watch for two records disagree together with the segment has no completion rule.
- For communication, watch for a value lacks units together with an interruption goes unrecorded.
- For review point, watch for the context has changed together with work resumes from a guess.

Independent Review Question

Format question: Which source is strongest for this decision, and what limitation remains? Outcome question: Could another person reconstruct the sequence and continue without guessing? Ask a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional where appropriate, then answer before the next practice block: _____

Cricket Practice Plan for Communicate as a Team

Use one defined practice block to test the outcome rather than attempting every possible task. This edition pairs evidence with continuity to pursue this objective: make calls and feedback short, early and respectful. Preserve the starting condition and both evidence trails.

Plan field	Entry
Purpose	Communicate as a Team
Starting condition	_____
Chosen working area	_____
Continuity note	agree roles and words before the drill
Evidence to capture	_____
Stop or review condition	_____

Thirty Minute Practice Shape

Stage	Action	Evidence
0-5 min	Which evidence separates matchup evidence from communication in this case? Identify the evidence required.	Evidence list / Checkpoint
5-10 min	How does review point change the interpretation of toss factors? Collect one traceable record.	Source / Context record
10-18 min	What boundary on bowling change must be checked before relying on field change? Label its date, owner and context.	Trace / Completed segment
18-24 min	Which observation links communication to bowling change, and what remains uncertain? Cross-check the material independently.	Verification / Continuity note
24-27 min	What alternative explanation could account for both toss factors and matchup evidence? Resolve or expose one gap.	Exception / Restart point
27-30 min	What next check on field change would make the record about review point more useful? Record the supported conclusion.	Sign-off / Chain review

Evidence Record Log

Evidence Record	Condition	Observation	Decision
1	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
2	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
3	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
4	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
5	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check

Source and Evidence Reconciliation - Continuity Lens

This comparison tests captaincy and decisions against communicate as a team through two independent questions. Format: Which source is strongest for this decision, and what limitation remains? Outcome: Could another person reconstruct the sequence and continue without guessing? Record material setting differences instead of averaging them away.

Evidence area	Baseline	Alternative	Interpretation prompt
Field Change	_____	_____	Which evidence separates matchup evidence from communication in this case?
Matchup Evidence	_____	_____	How does review point change the interpretation of toss factors?
Communication	_____	_____	What boundary on bowling change must be checked before relying on field change?
Review Point	_____	_____	Which observation links communication to bowling change, and what remains uncertain?
Toss Factors	_____	_____	What alternative explanation could account for both toss factors and matchup evidence?
Bowling Change	_____	_____	What next check on field change would make the record about review point more useful?

Format Decision Test

Field	Record
Review question	Which source is strongest for this decision, and what limitation remains?
Outcome question	Could another person reconstruct the sequence and continue without guessing?
Acceptance lens	finish a session with fewer avoidable misunderstandings
Conditions held steady	_____
Evidence supporting the choice	_____
Evidence against the choice	_____

Evidence Confidence Check

- Checkpoint: what was actually seen, heard, measured or read?
- Format evidence: which record best demonstrates dates captured?
- Outcome evidence: which record best demonstrates restarts evidenced?
- Challenge: could the segment has no completion rule explain the result?
- Subject boundary: what does this comparison leave unresolved about matchup evidence?

Evidence x Continuity Scenario Cards

Use these paired scenarios to rehearse judgement for communicate as a team. Each card joins a evidence failure mode to an outcome-specific risk. State what remains open and which evidence named in the final column should be collected next.

#	Situation	Review question	Decision
1	Matchup Evidence: the evidence is second-hand.	Which evidence separates matchup evidence from communication in this case?	Checkpoint
2	Communication: two records disagree.	How does review point change the interpretation of toss factors?	Context record
3	Review Point: a value lacks units.	What boundary on bowling change must be checked before relying on field change?	Completed segment
4	Toss Factors: the context has changed.	Which observation links communication to bowling change, and what remains uncertain?	Continuity note
5	Bowling Change: approval is missing.	What alternative explanation could account for both toss factors and matchup evidence?	Restart point
6	Field Change: the source has no date.	What next check on field change would make the record about review point more useful?	Chain review

Decision Record

Field	Notes
Chosen format scenario	_____
Outcome lens	Could another person reconstruct the sequence and continue without guessing?
Evidence available	_____
Evidence missing	_____
Controlled next step	_____
Person / review date	_____

Eight Evidence and Continuity Evidence Tracker

Track communicate as a team across eight practice blocks using the evidence method and the continuity outcome lens. Keep conditions visible and use this review question: Could another person reconstruct the sequence and continue without guessing?

#	Focus	Condition	Result	Next action
1	Matchup Evidence	_____	_____	_____
2	Communication	_____	_____	_____
3	Review Point	_____	_____	_____
4	Toss Factors	_____	_____	_____
5	Bowling Change	_____	_____	_____
6	Field Change	_____	_____	_____
7	Matchup Evidence	_____	_____	_____
8	Communication	_____	_____	_____

Evidence Evidence Dashboard

Measure	Baseline	Current	Evidence location
Toss Factors: Sources Identified / Checkpoints Marked	_____	_____	_____
Bowling Change: Dates Captured / Contexts Preserved	_____	_____	_____
Field Change: Records Traced / Segments Completed	_____	_____	_____
Matchup Evidence: Cross-Checks Completed / Interruptions Logged	_____	_____	_____
Communication: Exceptions Resolved / Restarts Evidenced	_____	_____	_____
Review Point: Conclusions Supported / Chains Verified	_____	_____	_____

Interpret the Trend

- I left a checkpoint that is meaningful without relying on memory.
- I preserved interruptions and unresolved gaps as part of the record.
- Keep the method only when the evidence for communicate as a team improves without hiding the segment has no completion rule.
- How does review point change the interpretation of toss factors?
- Use a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional when the evidence crosses a safety, rights, health or authority boundary.

Evidence x Continuity Next Step Plan

Finish by turning the paired format and outcome record into one owned action. For this practice session planner, the intended result was communicate as a team; the outcome test is: Could another person reconstruct the sequence and continue without guessing? The close-out standard remains: finish a session with fewer avoidable misunderstandings.

Close-out field	Record
Most useful evidence	_____
Important limitation	_____
Decision	Keep / adapt / pause / seek review
Next action	_____
Owner and date	_____
Evidence location	_____

Completion Review

- Subject check: Which evidence separates matchup evidence from communication in this case?
- Subject check: How does review point change the interpretation of toss factors?
- Format check: I linked each conclusion to a dated, traceable source.
- Outcome check: I left a checkpoint that is meaningful without relying on memory.
- I used a progressive warm-up and did not ignore pain, unsafe surfaces, heat or fatigue.
- I kept the drill generic and did not imply affiliation with a player, team, league or governing body.

Originality and Responsible Use

Original independent resource. This general practice, observation and review aid was written specifically for asset CRIC-22-02-07. It does not reproduce a book passage, manual, official form, commercial question bank, branded training plan, broadcast, photograph, logo, artwork, map, proprietary diagram or third-party worksheet. Any user-added quotation, image, question or source material remains the user's responsibility and may require attribution or permission.

Educational use only. Verify current facts, rules, competition requirements, local regulations, health and safety conditions with authoritative sources and a suitably qualified person where consequences matter. This workbook does not grant approval, certification, legal rights or permission to use third-party material.